

From: [Edlund, Carl](#)
To: [Buchanan, Kayla](#)
Cc: [Phillips, Pam](#); [Taheri, Diane](#); [Gray, David](#); [Buchanan, Kayla](#); [Carroll, Craig](#); [Smalley, Bryant](#); [Smith, Monica](#)
Subject: Re: 8-30-17 0800 Update Draft .docx
Date: Wednesday, August 30, 2017 7:36:22 AM

We need to make a number of changes. I passed the original on to David, while he is doing his review, please see if we can fix the following:

First Paragraph: please rewrite in active mode 'monitoring' is not a meaningful word, don't use it. Describe the problem (power is needed for refrigerating a vat of chemicals that could spontaneously explode if allowed to warm). Aren't we working with TCEQ and DHS to define the evacuation zone if an explosion should occur? Other things that we are doing?

WW and DW tables....what does WW and DW mean (not defined). What do all those categories mean?? Can we condense them to be meaningful to the uninformed reader? Why don't we do totals at the bottom of the chart?

Don't underline the refinery stuff. Why are we including Georgia????

NPL Tables...good that we added LA sites. What is meant by 'remedy complete'??? Are we saying that the site is not affected by Harvey? That the site has no waste left? That it shouldn't be on the list? (If we can't get an answer this morning, just remove the term).

Sent from my iPad

On Aug 30, 2017, at 6:31 AM, Buchanan, Kayla <buchanan.kayla@epa.gov> wrote:

<8-30-17 0800 Update Draft .docx>